The mass and the coupling of the Dark Particle

Elcio Abdalla*

Instituto de Fisica, Universidade de Sao Paulo, C.P.66.318, CEP 05315-970, Sao Paulo

Bin Wang[†]

Department of Physics, Fudan University, 200433 Shanghai

We argue that Dark Matter can be described by an interacting field theory with a mass parameter of the order of the proton mass and an interaction coupling of the order of the QED coupling.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq; 98.80.-k

INTRODUCTION

We know that Dark Matter (DM) clumps around galaxies, tracing the clumping of matter. However, it does not seem to take part in stars and might not show up in our vicinity, at least not extensively. Can we get constraints from these facts? Are they consistent with a non interacting Dark Matter (DM) and Dark Energy (DE) model? We argue that we need a field theory in interaction in order to be able to explain such a phenomenology. Moreover, we believe that the strenght of the interaction as well as the mass of the Dark Particle are restricted from general arguments.

Non interacting DM can be hardly understood in terms of field theories. Moreover, matter clumping depends crucially on friction: a frictionless matter cannot clump, it would just scatter as a consequence of gravity, while matter with dissipation falls into energy traps leading to structures. We thus need an interacting field theory describing DM. The fundamental question is how to entail interaction from the knowledge of astrophysical phenomenology.

Some works are quite definite on the requirement of DE and DM interaction. Several papers dealt with the matter of interaction in general [1] as well as [2] within the holographic model [3]. Recently it has been argued [4] that interactions should be present to explain the Bullet observations [5]. Here we give further arguments to support the picture of interacting DM, possibly with DE.

*Electronic address: eabdalla@fma.if.usp.br

[†]Electronic address: wangb@fudan.edu.cn

II. GALAXY FORMATION

Let us suppose that Dark Matter scatters through some mechanism described by a cross section σ_{DM} . In the case there is a Quantum Field Theory behind the scene, the fine structure constant corresponding to the coupling of DM, given by α_{DM} , should be related to the coupling g_{DM} of DM to some mediator via $\alpha_{DM} = g_{DM}^2$, up to a numerical factor of order of unity. We also suppose that the DM field is characterized by two mass parameters m_1 and $m_2 \ll m_1$ describing fields in interaction. The motivation is to describe a dark sector as similar as possible to our *bright* (or baryonic plus leptonic) matter.

Similarly to Thompson scattering, we assume that there is a relation between the above quantities given by

$$\sigma_{DM} pprox rac{lpha_{DM}^2}{m_2^2} \quad .$$

In such a case, supposing the cooling of DM in very large scales to be due to some kind of bremsstrahlung process, the cooling time is [6]

$$t_{cool} \approx (n\alpha_{DM}\sigma_{DM})^{-1} \left(\frac{T}{m_2}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{m_2^2}{\alpha_{DM}^3 n} \left(\frac{T}{m_2}\right)^{1/2}$$

where n is the DM number density. This should be compared to the time of gravitational collapse,

$$t_{grav} = \left(\frac{R^3}{GM}\right)^{1/2} \quad ,$$

where now M is the total mass and R the corresponding size. The temperature is $T = \frac{GMm_1}{R}$; equating t_{cool} and t_{grav} as a condition for triggering structure formation and using $n = \frac{N}{4/3\pi R^3}$ and $N = M/m_1$, we have

$$R_{dark\ gal} \approx \frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha_{DM}^3}{G(m_1 m_2)^{3/2}} \quad ,$$

We can compare with the similar result of baryonic galaxy formation [6] (actually just replacing $m_{1,2}$ by $m_{p,e}$ and α_{DM} by the fine tructure constant α . For $R \approx R_{gal}$ we have $(m_e = 10^{-3} m_p)$

$$\alpha_{DM} \approx 30 \frac{\sqrt{m_1 m_2}}{m_p} \alpha \quad . \tag{1}$$

Let us now examine the galaxy mass. Using $M_{dark\;gal} \approx Nm_1$ and the temperature as $T \approx \alpha_{DM}^2 m \approx \frac{GMm}{R}$ we find, for $M_{dark\;gal} \approx 10 M_{bright\;gal}$

$$\alpha_{DM} \approx 3\alpha$$
, consequently, $\sqrt{m_1 m_2} \approx 0.1 m_p$. (2)

Therefore the Dark Matter coupling should differ from the QED fine structure constant by a factor of 3, approximately.

III. STAR FORMATION

Supposing that the dark paticles are confined within a small region d, their kinetic energy is of the order $\frac{p^2}{m_2} \approx \frac{1}{m_2 d^2}$. Their energy is $U \approx \frac{GM^2}{R}$, their thermal energy $K \approx NT$ thus $T \approx \frac{GMm_1}{R}$. The typical distance is gotten from $d \approx RN^{-1/3}$, thus $T \approx N^{2/3}Gm^2/d$. Arguing that we have a balance between thermal and kinetic energy [6], we find $T \approx N^{2/3}Gm_1^2/d - 1/(m_2d^2)$, whose maximum is achieved when

$$d = d_{dark \ star} = 2N^{-2/3} \frac{1}{Gm_1^2 m_2}$$

The corresponding temperature turns out to be

$$T_{dark\ star} \approx N^{4/3} G^2 m_1^4 m_2$$

We wish to impose that the star ignites. This is actually a very delicate point. Does it ignite? It would emit which kind of energy? In case we have a DE and DM interaction of the kind suggested elsewhere [1, 2] the decay of DM into DE in average is not preferred (the interaction seems to be preferable in the other direction for entropic reasons). In case we first suppose that the physical process is the same as in the baryonic case, we need an energy $\epsilon \approx \alpha_{DM}^2 m_1$ to ignite the star, in which case the condition becomes, for the number of particles,

$$N_{dark\ star} \approx \left(\frac{\alpha_{DM}}{G}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{m_1^{9/4} m_2^{3/4}}$$
 (3)

Comparing with a baryonic (bright) star, $N_{dark\ star} \approx \left(\frac{m_p}{m_1}\right)^{3/2} N_{bright\ star}$ The corresponding mass is of the order

$$M_{dark\ star} \approx \left(\frac{m_p}{m_1}\right)^{1/2} M_{bright\ star}$$
 (4)

We also compute the radius of such a star,

$$R_{dark\ star} \approx N_{dark\ star}^{-1/3} \frac{1}{Gm_1^2 m_2}$$

For the range of values $m_1 \approx 3m_p$ and $m_2 \approx 3m_e$, compatible with the previous constraints, we have $N_{dark\ star} \approx 5N_{bright\ star}$, $M_{dark\ star} \approx 0.6M_{bright\ star}$ and $R_{dark\ star} \approx 0.05R_{bright\ star}$ Due to the difficulty in getting a decay of DM into DE (see [2]), it is quite possible that such an object be forbiden as a star and its fate is a Black Hole, especially in view of the lack of radiation pressure: in case the star radiates just Dark Energy the pressure might be too small to maintain the small star, determining its fate as a compact object. An object of roughly the same mass and radius 20

times smaller is 10^4 times denser, a fact which might lead us to Black Hole formation. This picture of an interacting theory but with supressed DE production is a correct one to explain the observed structure.

IV. PLANETS

For small objects we should have a mass $M = Nm_1$ and $R \approx N^{1/3}a_0$, where $a_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha m_2}$ is the smallest quantum size of a molecule of dark matter. The gravitational energy is $E_g = \frac{GM^2}{R} \approx N^2 \frac{Gm_1^2}{R}$, while the total energy in the form of molecule binding energy is $E_0 = N\alpha^2 m_2$. For a stable configuration the gravitational energy should not be enough to crush matter. Therefore

$$E_g = N^2 \frac{Gm_1^2}{R(\approx N^{1/3}a_0)} = N^{5/3} \frac{Gm_1^2}{a_0}$$

$$= E_0 \text{ in order not to crush the configuration, in the compact limit}$$
(5)

We thus find the maximum of particles, N_{max} ,

$$N_{dark\; max}\alpha_{DM}^2 m_2 \approx N_{dark\; max}^{5/3} G m_1^2 \alpha_{DM} m_2$$

thus

$$N_{dark\ max} \approx \left[\frac{\alpha_{DM}}{Gm_1^2}\right]^{3/2} \approx 0.2 N_{bright\ max}$$
 (6)

The radius and mass are given by

$$R_{dark\ planet} \approx N_{dark\ max}^{1/3} a_0 = \frac{N_{dark\ max}^{1/3}}{\alpha_{DM} m_2} \approx 0.1 R_{bright\ planet}$$
 (7)

$$M \approx N_{max} m_1 \approx 0.6 M_{bright max}$$
 (8)

A planet has a reasonable density as compared to a usual baryonic planet.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that Dark Matter forms galaxies with approximately the same size as usual galaxies and a mass 10 times larger in case the DM particles are roughly as heavy as protons and electrons (up to a factor of a few) and the coupling roughly a few times the QED coupling. This is in accordance with observations [5] and previous interacting models based on interacting fluids [2, 3].

In such a case the substructures which can be formed are either Black Holes or small planets. This is quite consistent with (the lack of) further observations.

- [1] Sergio del Campo, Ramon Herrera, German Olivares, Diego Pavon, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 023501; Sergio del Campo, Ramon Herrera and Diego Pavon Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 123529; Diego Pavon, Winfried Zimdahl, Phys. Lett. B628 (2005) 206-210; German Olivares, Fernando Atrio-Barandela, Diego Pavon, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 063523; G. Olivares, F. Atrio-Barandela, D. Pavon, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 043521; Subinoy Das, Pier Stefano Corasaniti, Justin Khoury, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 083509; Luca Amendola, Shinji Tsujikawa, M. Sami, Phys. Lett. B632 (2006) 155-158; Luca Amendola, Claudia Quercellini, Phys. Rev. D68 (2003) 023514.
- [2] Bin Wang, Yungui Gong, Elcio Abdalla Phys. Lett. B624 (2005) 141-146; Bin Wang, Chi-Yong Lin, Elcio Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B637 (2006) 357-361; Yun-gui Gong, Bin Wang, Yuan-Zhong Zhang Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 043510, hep-th/0412218. Bin Wang, Jiadong Zang, Chi-Yong Lin, Elcio Abdalla, S. Micheletti, astro-ph/0607126.
- [3] Miao Li *Phys. Lett.* **B603** (2004) 1, hep-th/0403127.
- [4] Glennys R. Farrar and Rachel A. Rosen, astro-ph/0610298.
- [5] M. Bradac et al, astro-ph/0608408; D. Clowe et al, astro-ph/0608407.
- [6] T. Padmanabhan Structure Formation in the Universe